

2016-11-03

Bob,

First, thank you for taking the time to consider both the regulation of Agritourism and the tinkering with the Residential Agricultural wording.

Second, I don't know if it came across in the meeting last night, but I would concur with Fritz that the Agritourism Committee recommended the allowance of agritourism in the RA Zone with a provision for Site Plan Review only (no CUP). I think the PB seemed to OK with that approach. If I did not hear that correctly, please let us know. Fritz—what was your take on the PB reaction to this approach last night? The Committee felt that our current standards (with some relevant additions as explained on page 3 of the Proposed Regulatory Changes white paper) would be sufficient to regulate such activity and that a CUP would be to some extent redundant and additional “paperwork” for the applicant. However, if you feel we should pursue the CUP route, please feel free to make the case. As you heard last night, Fritz will be getting in touch with me to finalize a draft final approach for such regulation based on the Committee's leanings and the sense of the PB last night.

Third, as for the RA tinkering—yes I would welcome that and think you have laid out a good alternative notwithstanding that Agritourism may not be included as a CUP requirement.

Jack

Jack Mettee, AICP
Mettee Planning Consultants
56 Rutland Street
Dover, New Hampshire 03820
[603-749-4321](tel:603-749-4321)
c:[603-969-9368](tel:603-969-9368)
jackmetteeaicp@comcast.net
